

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/758,844	01/16/2004		Meng-Chai Wu	87159200.242005	6937
23562	7590	11/30/2005		EXAMINER	
BAKER &			VU, PHU		
PATENT DI 2001 ROSS			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 2300				2871	
DALLAS, 7	TX 7520	1	DATE MAILED: 11/30/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/758,844	WU ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Phu Vu	2871
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perioder in the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pro	
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-18 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ and Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corresponding to the shadow of the shadow o	rawn from consideration.  I/or election requirement.  Iner.  Iner.  Iner.  Iner drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreit     a) All b) Some * c) None of:     1. Certified copies of the priority docume     2. Certified copies of the priority docume     3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume     application from the International Burd * See the attached detailed Office action for a light series.	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicat riority documents have been receiv eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/758,844

Art Unit: 2871

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9/9/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant has argued that Hiyama fails to teach "at least one light-diffusing" layer coupled to scatter towards the light modulator light outputted from the plurality of converter units in a direction substantially perpendicular to the light diffusing layer. Applicant is claiming a "diffuser" that "scatters" light "substantially" perpendicular however. Therefore, the diffuser of the applicant since it produces light substantially perpendicular to diffuser surface does not appear to limit the structure to a traditional diffuser since it provides a directional output that appears to be "substantially" uniform since the light passing through the diffuser will be perpendicular (interpreted as normal to) to the diffuser surface. Therefore the limitation of a diffuser is interpreted as a device which takes input light and generates light that is substantially perpendicular to the surface which the prism of the reference meets this limitation (see column 11 lines 35-40).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1-8, and 12-16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hiyama 6104454.

Art Unit: 2871

Regarding claims 1 and 12. Hivama teaches a display system comprising: a light modulator (fig. 12 element 250), an optical module coupled to the light modulator. wherein the optical converter module comprises: a plurality of converter units wherein one or more of the plurality of converter units comprises: one or more polarizing beam splitters (fig. 14 element 230) configured to transmit a first polarized component (fig. 14 310) of the input light and reflect a second polarized component (fig. 14 element 31 1) of the input light', at least one reflector (fig. 14 element that splits 310 and 31 1) coupled to one or more polarizing beam splitters and configured to direct the second polarized components towards the light modulator, and at least one retardation element (fig. 14 element 234) coupled to one or more of the plurality of polarizing beam splitters and configured to rotate polarization of at least one of the first and second polarized components. The limitation of a diffuser is interpreted as a device which takes input light and generates light that is substantially perpendicular to the surface which the prism of the reference meets this limitation (see arguments above). Hiyama teaches at least one light-diffusing layer coupled to the plurality of converter units and configured to scatter towards the light modulator light outputted from the plurality of converter units in a direction substantially perpendicular to the light-diffusing layer (see column 11 lines 35-40).

Note Figs. 12 & 14 are both used because fig. 14 does not show the liquid crystal element however each backlight is meant to be used in a display.

Regarding claim 2, the retardation film is a quarter wave retardation film (column 13 line 55).

Application/Control Number: 10/758,844

Art Unit: 2871

Regarding claim 3, there is a plurality of converter units (see fig. 14).

**Regarding claim 4,** the light modulator is a liquid crystal panel (see fig. 14 element 250).

Regarding claim 5, the reflectors are polarization beam splitters as the reflector reflects one polarization component up (see fig. 14 element 310) and directs the other component (element 31 1) toward a retarder to change the polarization state.

**Regarding claim 6,** the retarders (fig. 14 element 234) are placed between a reflector and polarization beam splitter.

**Regarding claim 7,** the reference teaches an illumination source coupled to the optical converter and configured to generate the input light (se fig. 14 element 210).

Regarding claim 8, the illumination source is a backlight (see fig. 14 element 210).

**Regarding claim 12,** claim 1 discloses all the limitations of claim 12.

**Regarding claim 13**, claim 2 discloses all the limitations of claim 13.

Regarding claim 14, claim 3 discloses all the limitations of claim 14.

Regarding claim 15, claim 5 discloses all the limitations of claim 15.

Regarding claim 16, claim 6 discloses all the limitations of claim 16.

Claims 9-10 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hiyama in view of Okuyama US 6257726.

Regarding claims 9-10 and 17, Hiyama teaches all the limitations of claims 9-10 and 17 except a plurality of lenses coupled to the plurality of converter units and

Art Unit: 2871

configured to focus the light towards one or more polarizing beam splitters and wherein the lenses are placed linearly parallel to one another in an array arrangement.

Okuyama teaches a plurality of lenses coupled to a polarizing beam splitter wherein the lenses are placed in a linearly parallel to one another in an array arrangement (see fig. 5 element 6) to uniform light outputted from the polarizing beam splitter (see column 5 lines 5-12) which produces more unitform brightness. Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to place plurality of lenses coupled to a polarizing beam splitter wherein the lenses are placed in a linearly parallel to one another in an array arrangement to provide more uniform brightness to the display.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phu Vu whose telephone number is (571)-272-1562.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM-5PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on (571)-272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/758,844

Art Unit: 2871

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phu Vu Examiner AU 2871

ANDREW SCHECHTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER